



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,312	01/23/2004	Li-Chun Lai	MR2723-351	4645
4586	7590	05/03/2005	EXAMINER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			ALAVI, ALI	
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			ART UNIT	
ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			PAPER NUMBER	

2875

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ELC

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,312	LAI, LI-CHUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ali Alavi	2875	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-12 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 6-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 6, line 9, the word "too" should be changed to "two" to be consistent with the scope of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lean (US Pat. No 6,585,400).

Regarding claims 1, and 3-5, the applicant is advised that claims have been given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974.) Lean discloses an articulated portable lamp fixture (10, fig. 1) comprising: a grip (30, fig. 1) and a fixture body (12, fig. 1) wherein said grip and said fixture body are hinged (22, fig. 1) together capable of being developed and retracted or overturned by articulation motion (best shown in fig. 2, col. 2, lines 23-25), an electric power supply

conductor (90), and a hook (93) is provided to the top part of the fixture body to hang up the lamp fixture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lean in view of Stekelenburg (US Pat. No 5,414,608).

Lean discloses the claimed invention as applied above in claim 1, except for an on/off switch. Stekelenburg discloses a portable lamp including an on/off switch (21, fig. 2). It is known in the illumination art to incorporate an on/off switch to a portable lamp in order to connect or disconnect power supply time to time in order to save electricity and thus reduce the cost of electricity as well as prolonging the life of the lamp bulb. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an on/off switch in order to increase the life of the light bulb as well as reducing the electricity cost.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lean in view of Maddock (US Pat. No 4,678,153).

Lean discloses the claimed invention as applied above in claim 1, except for an outlet is provided to the bottom of said grip for serving as a transit station for supply power to other loads. Maddock discloses a portable lamp including an outlet on the grip

Art Unit: 2875

of the lamp. It is known in the illumination art to add an outlet for power supply in a portable lamp as shown in Maddock lamp to facilitate additional power supply on the grip handle of the lamp. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate additional outlet to the portable lamp grip to facilitate additional power supply.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 6-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stewart (US Pat. No 1,722,773) discloses an articulating lamp.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Ali Alavi** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2365**. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. Tuesday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandy O'Shea can be reached at (571) 272-2378 or you may fax your inquiry to the **Central Fax at (703) 872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you

Art Unit: 2875

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ali Alavi', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Ali Alavi
Patent Examiner
AU 2875